

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed in view of the final Office Action dated 22 July 2004. Responsive to that final Office Action, Claim 9 is amended to remove the potentially confusing informality noted therein by the Examiner.

In the final Office Action, the Examiner allowed Claims 1 – 2 and 4 – 8, but rejected Claims 9 – 13 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for containing subject matter not adequately described in the Specification. The Examiner noted that although Claim 9 depends from Claim 1, Claim 9 recites features of certain embodiments not entirely consistent with those recited in Claim 1. On that basis, the Examiner also objected to the drawings under 37 C.F.R. § 1.83(a) for not showing the combination of features resulting due to the dependency of Claim 9 from Claim 1.

Accordingly, Claim 9 has been amended to independent form removing the claim's errant dependency from Claim 1. Features generic to the embodiments covered by both Claims are incorporated into Claim 9, such that the inconsistencies noted by the Examiner are now removed. It is believed, therefore, that the Examiner's concerns under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, are now obviated, as are the Examiner's objections to the drawings under 37 C.F.R. § 1.83(a).

MR2349-953
Serial Number: 10/627,706
Reply to Office Action dated: 22 July 2004

It is now believed that the subject Patent Application has been placed in condition for allowance, and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'Jun Y. Lee', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Jun Y. Lee
Registration #40,262

Dated: 10/21/2004

Suite 101
3458 Ellicott Center Drive
Ellicott City, MD 21043
(410) 465-6678